May 29, 2014 Presentation to the "Chief Executive Officers" of the member units of the Franklin Regional Retirement System

AGENDA MEMORANDUM

Change Actuarial Method?

From: Dale

A number of units have asked about paying down their share of the unfunded liability. The best way to do this (and be able to track the payments) is to change our actuarial method to calculate each unit's retirement costs and contributions. Before the retirement board gets too far in the process, I will endeavor to discuss the pros and cons with any and all representatives from our member units.

In brief, we currently calculate retirement liability and assessment allocation as a pooled fund of all the member units. Given the power and convenience of modern computers, it is quite efficient now to keep track of the liabilities and assets of each member unit and allow each to bear the cost of its own expense. Doing so also makes it attractive (and possible) for each of you to reduce sooner the amount of future assessments. If you pay in extra funds, we can apply them to your individual "account", and if our investments continue to do well you will benefit that much more from the good performance.

It is a straight forward process to make the switch, but I must warn you that some unit's assessments will go up, and some will go down . . . just from the switch. That is because some employees are more expensive than others. Group 4 employees – police and fire – have a different retirement package that is more expensive than the retirement benefits of other employees, so a town with a lot of Group 4 employees will see an increase in its assessment, and the other units – schools in particular – that don't have Group 4 employees, will see a decrease just from the switch to individual valuations.

Like I said, the Board is looking into the idea to switch. Barring possible drama during the transition, it is an agreeable change, and one that is popular with the other regional/county retirement systems in Massachusetts. The Board always welcomes your insights and comments and looks forward to hearing your thoughts regarding this idea. I look forward to the opportunity to answer your questions, whether it be at this meeting or via phone or email. In the meantime, I have attached some materials for your perusal. Please find attached our latest funding schedule, and our latest assessment allocation chart. In addition I have attached Worcester Regionals assessment spreadsheet that they used in their transition to the new method, I have attached select pages from Plymouth County's valuation report showing how their individual valuations are calculated, and I attached the same for Middlesex County.

Franklin Regional Retirement, 278 Main Street, Suite 311, Greenfield
Thursday, May 29, 2014
9:00 am

seating is limited – **call to reserve** – 413 774 4837 x 1

or email: <u>Admin@FRRSMA.com</u> directions: <u>www.FRRSMA.com</u>

Organize for Fall Election

The 3-year term of Mary Stokarski (Third Member) ends on December 31, 2014. The paperwork to run the election was presented and reviewed by the council, and a request for volunteers from the council to certify nomination papers and count ballots was made, with Veronica LaChance, Linda Marcotte, Eunice "Susie" Apanell, Margaret Sullivan, and Patricia Auchard raising their hands to volunteer – although, Pat is tentative pending legislative transfer of FRCOG to State Retirement.

Increase Retirees' COLA base

At its January 29, 2014 meeting, the Retirement Board voted to increase the retirees' COLA base to \$15,000. Approval by the Advisory Council is required as well.

The council members discussed the impact on member units and retirees of increasing the COLA base from \$14,000 to \$15,000 with a compelling appeal from Robin Sherman to forego the increase for the sake of units in budget crisis, in particular the housing authority.

On a motion by Margaret Sullivan and second by Deb Mero, the Council voted by raising hands, with 7 for, and 3 against, to approve the increase of the retirees' COLA base to \$15,000.

Change the Actuarial Method

In response to a few units inquiring whether they could pay-off their share of the unfunded liability, Dale Kowacki, Executive Director, researched the options and found that the most acceptable route was to change the system's actuarial method from a pooled approach, to individual valuations for each unit.

The council members discussed the merits and demerits of making the change, again with compelling testimony that making the change would mean a dramatic increase in the potential for catastrophic increases in an individual unit's assessment if they should suffer an early career, disability retirement. That led to a request that should the Retirement Board continue to pursue the change, the Retirement Board should step- up its outreach to the towns so that they understand the impact of changing the actuarial method.

In the end, the council voted by straw poll to report to the Retirement Board that the council wants to stay with a pooled actuarial method.

<u>Adjournment</u>

On a motion by Linda Marcotte and second by Veronica LaChance, the Council voted to adjourn the meeting at 12:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Accepted at the November 5, 2014 Advisory Council Meeting

Dale Kowacki Advisory Council Secretary The Council also discussed the pros and cons of changing from a pooled actuarial method to a split method where each unit pays against its own liability balance. After a robust discussion the Council voted to report back to the Board that they wanted to stay as a pooled system and share the liability as one large community. – The Board members agreed they would honor the Council's wishes and stay a pooled system.

Investment Consultant Annual Review – Understanding that the consultant had extenuating circumstances that prevented the presentation of a proposal to reduce the allocation to PRIT, the Board members acknowledged that performance and results have been good this past year and they are looking forward to being presented the PRIT proposal at the August meeting.

Status Report on Transferred Service Credit Review

Samples of transferred files have been pulled from one full file cabinet. Staff will begin to review the files and be able to report the findings to the Board at the July meeting with the expectation of determining whether this project needs to move forward, adjusting previously transferred files or allowing the prior decisions to stand.

Status of Daruma conversion

All the paperwork was reviewed by the Law Offices of Michael Sacco with a side letter added to fill the deficiencies. The Chair has signed all the core agreements and Comerica has been instructed to transfer the funds to the custodial bank Daruma uses for the commingled fund. It appears on track for a complete transition as of 7/1/2014.

Richard Turner reimbursement –

This Ordinary Disability Retiree was overpaid in the first allowance payment due to an error in determining his date of retirement. Dale has had communication with the retiree and expects the funds to be returned in full within the week. At this point no further action is required.

<u>Inactive members purchasing service</u> – PERAC has not responded yet to the inquiry.

Franklin Regional Retirement System

Introduction to Actuarial Allocation Method

April 30, 2014

Linda L. Bournival, FSA Consulting Actuary KMS Actuaries, LLC



Introduction

- Currently, annual appropriations are allocated based on member unit salary
 - If member unit salary is 10% of total salary, then member unit is allocated 10% of total appropriation
- Desire to explore possibility of allocation based on actual member unit experience
 - Normal cost and ERI costs are based on actual experience
 - If member unit unfunded accrued liability is 10% of total unfunded accrued liability, then member unit is allocated 10% of amortization payment towards the unfunded



Salary Allocation Method

- Section 22 of Chapter 32
 - Provides methodology for allocation of annual appropriation
 - September 30 annual salary
 - Allocate proportionally
- FRRS method
 - Allocated based on member units' 5-year salary averages
 - Reconciled with member deduction salaries



Salary Allocation Method

Pros

- Simple calculation
- Predictable when salary percent of total is stable
- Lower appropriations for units with proportionately higher percentage of Group 4 employees

Cons

- Employee experience not considered
- Higher appropriations for units with proportionately lower percentage of Group 4 employees, e.g. school districts
- Does not allocate cost of ERI



Actuarial Allocation Method

- Considers experience of each individual member, retiree and beneficiary
- Charges ERIs to impacted unit
- Allocates assets every year
- Optionally, develops assets year by year for each unit with actual cash flow
 - Member deductions and unit appropriations
 - Pension payouts
 - 3(8)(c) transfers
 - Allocate expenses and investment return
- Allocate Retirement unit appropriation to all others



Actuarial Allocation Method

- Pros
 - Considers employee experience
 - Charges ERI to impacted units
- Cons
 - Complex calculations
 - More difficult to communicate
 - Allocations within units



Phase-In Option

- Avoids dramatic increase or decrease in individual unit appropriations as a result of method change
- Three-year phase-in example
 - Year 1
 - 2/3 Salary Allocation Method
 - 1/3 Actuarial Allocation Method
 - Year 2
 - 1/3 Salary Allocation Method
 - 2/3 Actuarial Allocation Method
 - Year 3
 - 100% Actuarial Allocation Method



Member Unit Statement

- Summary of Member Data
 - Counts
 - Average age, service, salary, pensions
- Normal Cost as of Valuation date
- Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)
 - Accrued Liability
 - Allocation of assets
- Annual Appropriations, prior and current fiscal years
 - Salary allocation method
 - Actuarial allocation method
 - Phase-In
 - Early payment discount



May 29, 2014 Presentation to the "Chief Executive Officers" of the member units of the Franklin Regional Retirement System

AGENDA MEMORANDUM

Change Actuarial Method?

From: Dale

A number of units have asked about paying down their share of the unfunded liability. The best way to do this (and be able to track the payments) is to change our actuarial method to calculate each unit's retirement costs and contributions. Before the retirement board gets too far in the process, I will endeavor to discuss the pros and cons with any and all representatives from our member units.

In brief, we currently calculate retirement liability and assessment allocation as a pooled fund of all the member units. Given the power and convenience of modern computers, it is quite efficient now to keep track of the liabilities and assets of each member unit and allow each to bear the cost of its own expense. Doing so also makes it attractive (and possible) for each of you to reduce sooner the amount of future assessments. If you pay in extra funds, we can apply them to your individual "account", and if our investments continue to do well you will benefit that much more from the good performance.

It is a straight forward process to make the switch, but I must warn you that some unit's assessments will go up, and some will go down . . . just from the switch. That is because some employees are more expensive than others. Group 4 employees – police and fire – have a different retirement package that is more expensive than the retirement benefits of other employees, so a town with a lot of Group 4 employees will see an increase in its assessment, and the other units – schools in particular – that don't have Group 4 employees, will see a decrease just from the switch to individual valuations.

Like I said, the Board is looking into the idea to switch. Barring possible drama during the transition, it is an agreeable change, and one that is popular with the other regional/county retirement systems in Massachusetts. The Board always welcomes your insights and comments and looks forward to hearing your thoughts regarding this idea. I look forward to the opportunity to answer your questions, whether it be at this meeting or via phone or email. In the meantime, I have attached some materials for your perusal. Please find attached our latest funding schedule, and our latest assessment allocation chart. In addition I have attached Worcester Regionals assessment spreadsheet that they used in their transition to the new method, I have attached select pages from Plymouth County's valuation report showing how their individual valuations are calculated, and I attached the same for Middlesex County.

Franklin Regional Retirement, 278 Main Street, Suite 311, Greenfield Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:00 am

seating is limited – **call to reserve** – 413 774 4837 x 1

or email: <u>Admin@FRRSMA.com</u> directions: <u>www.FRRSMA.com</u>



FRANKLIN REGIONAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

FUNDING SCHEDULE

			Funding			Adjusted
Fiscal	Normal	Unfunded	Amortization	Net 3(8)(c)	Schedule	for Semiannual
Year	Cost	Liability	of UAL	Payments	Contribution	Payments
2014	1,749,252	52,538,305	3,535,291	286,000	5,570,543	5,676,096
2015	1,823,595	52,862,001	3,669,397	286,000	5,778,992	5,888,495
2016	1,901,098	53,066,522	3,808,867	286,000	5,995,965	6,109,580
2017	1,981,895	53,136,695	3,953,917	286,000	6,221,811	6,339,705
2018	2,066,125	53,055,922	4,104,768	286,000	6,456,893	6,579,241
2019	2,153,936	52,806,057	4,261,653	286,000	6,701,589	6,828,573
2020	2,245,478	52,367,276	4,284,331	286,000	6,815,808	6,944,958
2021	2,340,911	51,869,478	4,411,863	286,000	7,038,773	7,172,147
2022	2,440,399	51,194,902	4,588,337	286,000	7,314,736	7,453,339
2023	2,544,116	50,276,832	4,771,871	286,000	7,601,987	7,746,033
2024	2,652,241	49,088,477	4,962,745	286,000	7,900,987	8,050,698
2025	2,764,961	47,600,633	5,161,255	286,000	8,212,217	8,367,826
2026	2,882,472	45,781,479	5,367,705	286,000	8,536,178	8,697,925
2027	3,004,977	43,596,358	5,582,414	286,000	8,873,391	9,041,528
2028	3,132,689	41,007,542	5,805,710	286,000	9,224,399	9,399,187
2029	3,265,828	37,973,977	6,037,939	286,000	9,589,767	9,771,478
2030	3,404,626	34,451,001	6,279,456	286,000	9,970,082	10,159,000
2031	3,549,322	30,390,054	6,530,634	286,000	10,365,957	10,562,376
2032	3,700,169	25,738,349	6,791,860	286,000	10,778,028	10,982,256
2033	3,857,426	20,438,525	7,063,534	286,000	11,206,960	11,419,315
2034	4,021,366	14,428,271	7,346,076	286,000	11,653,442	11,874,257
2035	4,192,275	7,639,919	7,639,919	286,000	12,118,193	12,347,814
2036	4,370,446	0	-	286,000	4,656,446	4,744,679
		Amortiz	vation of Unfunde	d Liability as of J	uly 1, 2013	
		Original Amort.	Percentage Percentage	Original #	Current Amort.	Years
Year	Type	Amount	Increasing	of Years	Amount	Remaining
2005	ERI	140,483	0.00%	15	140,483	6
2006	ERI	42,155	0.00%	15	42,155	7
2014	Fresh Start	3,352,653	4.00%	22	3,352,653	22

Year is the year the amortization base was established.

Type is the reason for the creation of the base. Examples are Gain/(Loss) or Fresh Start.

Original Amortization Amount is the annual amortization amount when the base was established.

Percentage Increasing is the percentage that the Original Amortization Amount increases per year.

Original # of Years is the number of years over which the base is being amortized.

Current Amortization Amount is the amortization payment amount for this year.

Years Remaining is the number of years left to amortize the base.



Franklin Regional Retirement FY2015 Appropriations

	percent of					Semi Annual Payments		July 1 Full Pay		
	assessments to salaries					Gen.	Semi Annual			
	(without ERI)	salaries	percent	PERAC	Early R.I.	Assessment	Total	July 1 Full Pay	Discount	
Town of Ashfield	18.57%	402,413	1.31%			74,747	74,747	73,357	1,390	
Town of Bernardston	18.60%	435,627	1.42%		-	81,023	81,023	79,516	1,507	
Bernardston Fire & Water District	19.03%	14,989	0.05%		-	2,853	2,853	2,800	53	
Town of Buckland	18.63%	532,828	1.74%		7,140	99,282	106,422	104,443	1,979	
Town of Charlemont	18.68%	311,634	1.02%		-	58,200	58,200	57,118	1,082	
Town of Colrain	18.57%	337,911	1.10%		9,483	62,764	72,247	70,903	1,344	
Town of Conway	18.64%	786,663	2.57%		-	146,641	146,641	143,914	2,727	
Town of Deerfield	18.63%	2,471,522	8.07%		-	460,463	460,463	451,900	8,563	
Town of Erving	18.61%	1,263,059	4.12%		-	235,081	235,081	230,709	4,372	
Franklin County Regional Housing Authority	18.62%	1,241,117	4.05%		-	231,087	231,087	226,790	4,297	
Franklin County Solid Waste Management Distric	18.51%	120,195	0.39%		-	22,253	22,253	21,839	414	
Franklin Regional Council of Governments	18.61%	2,124,718	6.93%		-	395,416	395,416	388,063	7,353	
Franklin Regional Transit Authority	18.66%	284,369	0.93%		-	53,064	53,064	52,077	987	
Frontier Regional School District	18.61%	1,796,859	5.86%		5,670	334,363	340,033	333,710	6,323	
Town of Gill	18.58%	374,665	1.22%		11,927	69,611	81,538	80,022	1,516	
Hawlemont Regional School District	18.55%	273,828	0.89%		-	50,782	50,782	49,838	944	
Town of Hawley	18.61%	113,435	0.37%		-	21,112	21,112	20,719	393	
Town of Heath	18.58%	248,686	0.81%		-	46,217	46,217	45,358	859	
Town of Leverett	18.61%	867,602	2.83%		13,296	161,476	174,772	171,522	3,250	
Town of Leyden	18.79%	118,409	0.39%		-	22,253	22,253	21,839	414	
Mahar Regional School District	18.63%	1,326,192	4.33%		-	247,064	247,064	242,470	4,594	
Mohawk Regional School District	18.62%	2,766,811	9.03%		-	515,239	515,239	505,658	9,581	
Town of Monroe	18.70%	91,542	0.30%		-	17,118	17,118	16,800	318	
Town of New Salem	18.58%	205,771	0.67%		-	38,229	38,229	37,518	711	
New Salem/Wendell Union School District	18.59%	583,268	1.90%		8,994	108,411	117,405	115,222	2,183	
Town of Northfield	18.63%	787,072	2.57%		18,868	146,641	165,509	162,431	3,078	
Town of Orange	18.62%	3,975,195	12.97%		77,633	740,049	817,682	802,474	15,208	
Orange Housing Authority	19.14%	44,711	0.15%		-	8,559	8,559	8,400	159	
Pioneer Valley Regional School District	18.63%	2,033,441	6.64%		15,642	378,869	394,511	387,175	7,336	
Town of Rowe	18.58%	595,646	1.94%		10,661	110,694	121,355	119,098	2,257	
Town of Shelburne	18.62%	530,050	1.73%		-	98,711	98,711	96,875	1,836	
Shelburne Falls Fire District	18.73%	201,043	0.66%		-	37,659	37,659	36,959	700	
Town of Shutesbury	18.61%	815,779	2.66%		-	151,776	151,776	148,954	2,822	
South Deerfield Fire District	18.37%	55,923	0.18%		-	10,271	10,271	10,080	191	
South Deerfield Water Supply District	18.73%	121,883	0.40%		-	22,823	22,823	22,399	424	
Town of Sunderland	18.62%	1,145,913	3.74%		-	213,399	213,399	209,431	3,968	
Town of Warwick	18.71%	240,956	0.79%		-	45,076	45,076	44,238	838	
Town of Wendell	18.76%	188,590	0.62%		3,324	35,376	38,700	37,980	720	
Town of Whately	18.63%	811,827	2.65%		-	151,205	151,205	148,393	2,812	
to	tals: 18.62%	30,642,142	100.00%		0% 182,638	5,705,857	5,888,495	5,778,992	109,503	

5,888,495

5,778,992

Actuarial Schedule - Adjusted Semiannual

Actuarial Schedule - July 1 Full Pay

0.00

0.00

variance(s)

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Middlesex County Retirement System

EXHIBIT H (CONTINUED)			
Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results for Town of Hudson		1	800
The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us:			
. Retired participants as of the valuation date (including 22 beneficiaries in pay status)			174
Participants active during the year ended December 31, 2011			444
. Inactive participants entitled to a return of their employee contributions			122
Inactive participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit			15
The actuarial factors as of January 1, 2012 are as follows:			
. Total normal cost			\$2,604,081
2. Administrative expenses			154,233
Expected employee contributions			-1,722,936
Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2) + (3)$			\$1,035,378
Actuarial accrued liability			84,826,655
Retired participants and beneficiaries	\$36,125,363		
Active participants	47,794,753		
Inactive participants	906,539		
6. Actuarial value of assets			37,059,591
. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability			47,767,064
The actuarial factors projected to FY13 are as follows:		<u>Amount</u>	% of Payro
. Projected employer normal cost		\$1,078,979	5.58%
. Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		49,640,989	
. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		2,716,741	
. Payment on 2002 ERI		0	
. Payment on 2003 ERI		0	
5. Payment on 2010 ERI		<u>0</u>	
7. Total FY13 Appropriation: $(1) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)$		\$3,795,720	19.64%
3. Total FY13 Appropriation, payable on July 1		3,722,311	19.26%
Projected Payroll		19,329,489	
The actuarial factors projected to FY14 and FY15 are as follows:	<u>FY14</u>		<u>FY15</u>
. Projected employer normal cost	\$1,127,533		\$1,178,272
. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	2,846,031		3,055,879
. Payment on 2002 ERI	0		0
Payment on 2003 ERI	0		0
. Payment on 2010 ERI	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
5. Total Appropriation: $(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$	\$3,973,564		\$4,234,151
7. Total Appropriation, payable on July 1	3,897,842		4,153,464

40

Note: Recommended contributions are assumed to be paid on July 1 and December 31, unless otherwise noted.

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Middlesex County Retirement System

EXHIBIT H (CONTINUED)			
Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results for Town of Lincoln		1	900
The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us:			
. Retired participants as of the valuation date (including 7 beneficiaries in pay status)			83
2. Participants active during the year ended December 31, 2011			196
3. Inactive participants entitled to a return of their employee contributions			124
4. Inactive participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit			15
The actuarial factors as of January 1, 2012 are as follows:			
1. Total normal cost			\$1,110,374
2. Administrative expenses			65,765
3. Expected employee contributions			-801,679
4. Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2) + (3)$			\$374,460
5. Actuarial accrued liability			36,914,677
Retired participants and beneficiaries	\$15,588,526		
Active participants	20,239,563		
Inactive participants	1,086,588		
6. Actuarial value of assets			17,711,910
7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability			19,202,767
The actuarial factors projected to FY13 are as follows:		<u>Amount</u>	% of Payrol
Projected employer normal cost		\$390,229	4.42%
2. Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		19,956,101	
Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		1,106,610	
Payment on 2002 ERI		0	
5. Payment on 2003 ERI		0	
5. Payment on 2010 ERI		<u>0</u>	
7. Total FY13 Appropriation: $(1) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)$		\$1,496,839	16.94%
8. Total FY13 Appropriation, payable on July 1		1,467,890	16.61%
9. Projected Payroll		8,835,470	
The actuarial factors projected to FY14 and FY15 are as follows:	<u>FY14</u>		<u>FY15</u>
Projected employer normal cost	\$407,789		\$426,140
2. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	1,143,270		1,227,567
3. Payment on 2002 ERI	0		0
4. Payment on 2003 ERI	0		0
5. Payment on 2010 ERI	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
5. Total Appropriation: $(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$	\$1,551,059		\$1,653,707
7. Total Appropriation, payable on July 1	1,521,501		1,622,193

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Middlesex County Retirement System

Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results for Town of Littleton		2	000
The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us:			
. Retired participants as of the valuation date (including 9 beneficiaries in pay status)			79
2. Participants active during the year ended December 31, 2011			244
3. Inactive participants entitled to a return of their employee contributions			52
Inactive participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit			12
The actuarial factors as of January 1, 2012 are as follows:			
. Total normal cost			\$1,354,189
2. Administrative expenses			80,205
8. Expected employee contributions			-931,624
Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2) + (3)$			\$502,770
6. Actuarial accrued liability			39,444,864
Retired participants and beneficiaries	\$16,103,819		, ,
Active participants	22,477,393		
Inactive participants	863,652		
6. Actuarial value of assets	,		21,187,158
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability			18,257,706
The actuarial factors projected to FY13 are as follows:		Amount	% of Payrol
. Projected employer normal cost		\$523,942	5.14%
. Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		18,973,965	
Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		968,346	
Payment on 2002 ERI		0	
Payment on 2003 ERI		0	
5. Payment on 2010 ERI		0	
7. Total FY13 Appropriation: $(1) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)$		\$1,492,288	14.64%
3. Total FY13 Appropriation, payable on July 1		1,463,427	14.35%
Projected Payroll		10,195,352	
The actuarial factors projected to FY14 and FY15 are as follows:	<u>FY14</u>		<u>FY15</u>
. Projected employer normal cost	\$547,519		\$572,157
2. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	1,091,984		1,172,500
. Payment on 2002 ERI	0		0
Payment on 2003 ERI	0		0
. Payment on 2010 ERI	0		<u>0</u>
5. Total Appropriation: $(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$	\$1,639,503		\$1,744,657
7. Total Appropriation, payable on July 1	1,608,260		1,711,410

*SEGAL

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Middlesex County Retirement System

Summary of Actuarial	Valuation Results for Town of North Reading		2	100
The valuation was mad	e with respect to the following data supplied to us:			
	of the valuation date (including 23 beneficiaries in pay status)			150
	ng the year ended December 31, 2011			250
	itled to a return of their employee contributions			41
	h a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit			8
	of January 1, 2012 are as follows:			
 Total normal cost 				\$1,520,297
2. Administrative expense	S			90,043
 Expected employee cor 				-1,051,938
4. Employer normal cost:				\$558,402
 Actuarial accrued liabil 				64,733,02
Retired participant	s and beneficiaries	\$32,257,145		
Active participants		31,964,032		
Inactive participan		511,844		
 Actuarial value of asset 				25,717,630
7. Unfunded actuarial acci	ued liability			39,015,39
The actuarial factors pr	ojected to FY13 are as follows:		Amount	% of Payro
Projected employer nor	mal cost		\$581,917	4.96%
Projected unfunded act	arial accrued liability		40,545,984	
	nfunded actuarial accrued liability		2,109,005	
1. Payment on 2002 ERI	•		21,706	
5. Payment on 2003 ERI			57,191	
6. Payment on 2010 ERI			<u>0</u>	
Total FY13 Appropriat	on: $(1) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)$		\$2,769,819	23.63%
8. Total FY13 Appropriat	on, payable on July 1		2,716,251	23.17%
Projected Payroll			11,723,395	
The actuarial factors pr	ojected to FY14 and FY15 are as follows:	<u>FY14</u>		<u>FY15</u>
 Projected employer nor 	mal cost	\$608,103		\$635,468
Payment on projected u	nfunded actuarial accrued liability	2,302,782		2,472,574
3. Payment on 2002 ERI		21,706		21,706
4. Payment on 2003 ERI		57,191		57,191
5. Payment on 2010 ERI		<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
6. Total Appropriation: (1	(2) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)	\$2,989,782		\$3,186,939
7. Total Appropriation, pa	yable on July 1	2,932,808		3,126,208

SECTION 3: Supplemental Information for the Middlesex County Retirement System

EXHIBIT H (CONTINUED)			
Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results for Town of Pepperell		22	200
The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us:			
1. Retired participants as of the valuation date (including 5 beneficiaries in pay status)			41
2. Participants active during the year ended December 31, 2011			75
3. Inactive participants entitled to a return of their employee contributions			11
Inactive participants with a vested right to a deferred or immediate benefit			6
The actuarial factors as of January 1, 2012 are as follows:			
. Total normal cost			\$566,032
2. Administrative expenses			33,525
8. Expected employee contributions			-382,240
Employer normal cost: $(1) + (2) + (3)$			\$217,317
5. Actuarial accrued liability			21,399,090
Retired participants and beneficiaries	\$9,310,258		
Active participants	11,690,717		
Inactive participants	398,115		
5. Actuarial value of assets			9,895,666
7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability			11,503,424
The actuarial factors projected to FY13 are as follows:		<u>Amount</u>	% of Payro
. Projected employer normal cost		\$226,468	5.47%
2. Projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		11,954,709	
Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability		604,644	
Payment on 2002 ERI		0	
7. Payment on 2003 ERI		0	
5. Payment on 2010 ERI		<u>0</u>	
7. Total FY13 Appropriation: $(1) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)$		\$831,112	20.08%
3. Total FY13 Appropriation, payable on July 1		815,038	19.69%
Projected Payroll		4,138,483	
The actuarial factors projected to FY14 and FY15 are as follows:	<u>FY14</u>		FY15
. Projected employer normal cost	\$236,659		\$247,309
2. Payment on projected unfunded actuarial accrued liability	688,339		739,093
3. Payment on 2002 ERI	0		0
Payment on 2003 ERI	0		0
7. Payment on 2010 ERI	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
5. Total Appropriation: $(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5)$	\$924,998		\$986,402
7. Total Appropriation, payable on July 1	907,371		967,605

Worcester Regional Contributory Retirement System Member Unit Appropriations for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2014 Based on January 1, 2012 Valuation Results

FYE 2014 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method) FYE 2013 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method) % of % of Increase Total Total over FYE Salary Actuarial Salary Actuarial Payment on Unit Unit Name 2011 Salary Salary Allocation Method Phase-In Total 2012 Salary Salary Allocation Method Phase-In Total 2013 7/1/2013 448.522 485.849 506.244 12.87% 496,689 010 Ashburnham 2,908,719.00 1.3% 452.817 439,932 3,020,205.80 1.3% 516,440 020 Ashburnham-Westminster Regional 2.730.270.72 1.2% 402.599 375.464 393,555 2.947.154.00 1.2% 449.722 404.630 419,659 6.63% 411.738 5.89% 030 Auburn 4.8% 11,605,042.19 4.9% 1.929.816 1.838.124 1.868.685 1.833.414 10,974,744.08 1,770,406 1,753,299 1,764,704 040 Auburn Housing Authority 207.828.12 0.1% 30.646 51.023 37,438 164.385.00 0.1% 25.084 55.278 45.214 20.77% 44,361 60.925 050 Auburn Water District 470,601.87 0.2% 73,313 42,203 62,944 469,153.88 0.2% 75,686 65.845 4.61% 64,602 060 Barre 1,847,447.36 0.8% 278.455 218,358 258,425 1,560,576.18 0.7% 244,443 257,384 253,071 -2.07% 248.294 10,526 0.0% 12,783 8.255 9.765 -7.23% 9.581 070 Barre Housing Authority 82,201.00 0.0% 12,121 7,336 83,768.00 -3.24% 080 Berlin 1,694,226,00 0.7% 249,827 323,884 274.511 1,736,103,00 0.7% 264.921 265,965 265,617 260,604 090 Berlin-Boylston Regional 550,419.90 0.2% 81,164 86,122 82,816 567,663.00 0.2% 86,622 89,472 88,522 6.89% 86,851 452.013 627,701 569,144 27,43% 558,402 100 Blackstone 2,973,403.35 1.3% 438,451 462,983 446,627 2,962,165.94 1.3% 0.0% 9,080 0.0% 10,102 9.813 8.07% 9.628 105 Blackstone Housing Authority 61,298.99 9,039 9,164 60,525.00 9.236 335,418 335,390 110 Blackstone-Millville Regional 2.107.612.36 0.9% 310,784 301.422 307.664 2.324.390.72 1.0% 354.691 341,842 11.11% 120 Bolton 2.183.337.00 1.0% 334.882 337,432 335,732 2,154,760.94 0.9%342.322 236.411 271.711 -19.07% 266,583 393,299 4.94% 130 Boylston 2,541,007.00 1.1% 384.488 370,208 379.728 2,612,461,06 1.1% 408,887 398,494 390,972 135 Boylston Water District 101,633.00 0.0%14.986 15,340 15,104 104,692.00 0.0% 15,976 16,993 16,654 10.26% 16,340 140 Brookfield 982,161.32 0.4% 151.358 143,515 148.744 1,122,474.45 0.5% 178,110 192,827 187,922 26.34% 184.375 3.57% 150 Charlton 4,383,229.00 1.9% 679,780 -719,655 693,070 4,614,460.00 1.9% 739,090 707,142 717,791 704.243 291,676.36 0.1% 43,010 36.888 311,778.66 0.1% 47,576 34.392 38,786 5.15% 38.054 160 Cherry Valley-Rochdale Water 24,640 165 Cherry Valley Sewer District 34,716.96 0.0% 5,119 3,596 4,612 36,874.24 0.0% 5,626 148 1,974 -57.20% 1,937 170 Douglas 5,369,933.96 2.3% 752,140 5,611,249.73 2.4% 875,504 647,545 723,523 -3.80% 709,867 810,261 635.881 14.96% 615,388 603,773 180 Dudley 2,775,431.00 1.2% 515,154 575,624 535,308 2,810,989.00 1.2% 539,605 653,274 15,682 86,595.60 0.0% 13.214 21,962 19,046 21.45% 18,687 190 Dudley Housing Authority 84,509.00 0.0% 12,462 22,125 4,585,382.00 745,786 604,879 651.843 -5.63% 639,540 200 Dudley-Charlton Regional 4,707,147.00 2.1% 738,199 595,762 690,724 1.9% 210 East Brookfield 574,669.14 0.3% 84,740 84,733 84,738 583,904.22 0.2% 89,101 61,969 71,012 -16.20% 69,672 8.18% 1.176,243 220 Grafton 6,983,343.02 3.1% 1,072,920 1,178,925 1,108,252 8,384,343.83 3.5% 1,324,528 1.136,054 1,198,872 33,682 29.66% 31,401 21,284 24,684 187,760.00 0.1% 28,651 32,005 230 Grafton Housing Authority 178,923.00 0.1% 26,383 147,740 24.02% 144,951 240 Hardwick 619,562.09 0.3% 101,287 154.814 119.128 684.722.25 0.3% 114,860 164,177 640,932 638,284 639,167 4.52% 627,103 250 Harvard 4,015,351.00 1.8% 592,094 650,459 611,547 4,200,209.58 1.8% 260 Hillcrest Water District 15,464.53 0.0% 2,280 4,747 3,102 16,237.76 0.0% 2,478 1,146 1,590 -48.74% 1,560 7,688,553.68 3.4% 1,183,242 1,234,266 8,004,132.74 3.4% 1,273,127 1,517,928 1,436,336 16.37% 1,409,225 270 Holden 1,336,331 103,449.00 0.0% 15.255 16,411 15,641 104,677.00 0.0% 15,973 18,768 17,837 14.04% 17,500 280 Holden Housing Authority 4,205,293.08 641.708 648,404 646.172 12.38% 633,976 290 Hopedale 4,006,482.60 1.8% 590,786 543,312 574.963 1.8% 300 Hopedale Housing Authority 0.00 0.0% 5,403 1,801 0.00 0.0% 0 5,368 3,579 98.72% 3,511



Worcester Regional Contributory Retirement System Member Unit Appropriations for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2014 Based on January 1, 2012 Valuation Results

· -	FYE 2013 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method)			FYE 2014 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method)								
Unit Unit Name	, 2011 Salary	% of Total Salary	Salary Allocation	Actuarial Method	Phase-In Total	2012 Salary	% of Total Salary	Salary Allocation	Actuarial Method	Phase-In Total	Increase over FYE 2013	Payment on 7/1/2013
310 Hubbardston	1,035,697.00	0.5%	163,874	172,635	166,794	1,052,398.72	0.4%	172,245	142,061	152,121	-8.80%	149,250
320 Lancaster	2,263,981.00	1.0%	333,841	416,287	361,320	2,463,455.00	1.0%	375,912	386,988	383,296	6.08%	376,061
325 Lancaster Housing Authority	49,254.00	0.0%	7,263	12,005	. 8,843	50,356.80	0.0%	7,684	11,575	10,278	16.23%	10,084
330 Leicester	4,722,489.00	2.1%	737,276	852,653	775,731	4,950,654.00	2.1%	798,196	933,610	888,477	14.53%	871,707
340 Leicester Housing Authority	159,152.00	0.1%	23,468	27,407	24,781	162,968.00	0.1%	24,868	27,864	26,866	8.41%	26,359
350 Leicester Water District	239,242.13	0.1%	35,278	37,620	36,059	247,561.12	0.1%	. 37,777	44,271	42,106	16.77%	41,311
360 Lunenburg	4,356,748.04	1.9%	642,436	809,645	698,167	4,198,015.15	1.8%	640,597	789,293	739,733	5.95%	725,771
370 Lunenburg Housing Authority	34,850.00	0.0%	5,139	2,689	4,322	35,521.20	0.0%	5,420	4,526	4,823	11.59%	4,732
380 Lunenburg Water District	240,404.52	0.1%	35,449	38,741	36,546	279,033.74	0.106	42,579	44,881	44,114	20.71%	43,281
390 Mendon	1,989,362.56	0.9%	316,463	372,569	335,163	1,985,271.01	0.8%	327,099	336,782	333,555	-0.48%	327,259
400 Mendon-Upton Regional	3,768,256.00	1.6%	566,718	414,108	515,853	3,806,361.00	1.6%	592,391	439,072	490,173	-4.98%	480,921
410 Millbury	5,914,274.98	2.6%	978,824	1,184,825	1,047,484	6,257,057.62	2.6%	1,066,319	1,286,525	1,213,130	15.81%	1,190,232
420 Millbury Housing Authority	307,459.71	0.1%	50,113	54,326	51,517	305,608.90	0.1%	51,626	56,519	54,888	6.54%	53,852
430 Millville	445,575.73	0.2%	65,703	75,512	68,972	421,803.36	0.2%	64,365	58,717	60,600	-12.14%	59,456
440 Natragansett Regional	1,855,848.00	0.8%	292,076	259,862	281,339	1,788,027.00	0.8%	292,091	277,484	282,353	0.36%	277,024
450 Nashoba Regional	5,437,795.82	2.4%	801,844	664,194	755,965	5,789,589.15	2.4%	883,464	705,137	764,574	1.14%	750,143
460 New Braintree	230,666.06	0.1%	34,013	34,023	34,016	234,538.66	0.1%	35,789	42,566	40,307	18.49%	39,546
470 Northboro	8,952,188.45	3.9%	1,320,068	1,243,099	1,294,414	9,107,807.59	3.8º a	1,389,809	1,296,982	1,327,921	2.59%	1,302,857
480 Northboro Housing Authority	327,988.18	0.1%	48,364	31,751	42,827	336,149.00	0.1%	51,295	30,684	37,554	-12.31%	36,845
490 Northboro-Southboro Regional	1,820,875.61	0.8%	268,502	234,256	257,088	1,879,104.90	0.8%	286,743	251,022	262,927	2.27%	257,964
500 North Brookfield	1,811,062.00	0.8%	277,800	316,313	290,636	1,889,607.00	0.8%	299,574	321,615	314,269	8.13%	308,337
510 North Brookfield Housing Authority	74,735.13	0.0%	11,020	11,399	11,146	75,778.30	0.0%	11,564	9,953	10,490	-5.89%	10,292
530 Oakham	246,878.06	0.1%	36,404	54,752	42,520	231,501.84	0.100	35,326	66,280	55,963	31.62%	54,907
540 Oxford	7,470,735.00	3.3%	1,215,173	1,273,800	1,234,714	7,953,892.38	3.4%	1,332,393	1,471,760	1,425,309	15.44%	1,398,407
550 Oxford Housing Authority	283,828.00	0.1%	41,852	34,288	39,331	294,672.00	0.1%	44,965	36,377	39,240	-0.23%	38,499
555 Oxford-Rochdale Sewer District	125,300.00	0.1%	18,476	9,892	15,615	133,000.00	0.1%	20,295	10,054	13,467	-13.76%	13,213
560 Paxton	2,135,358.60	0.9%	314,875	372,962	334,235	2,332,625.78	1.0%	355,948	395,337	382,208	14.35%	374,994
570 Petersham	577,901.49	0.3%	86,940	123,216	99,031	610,957.34	0.3%	95,031	184,950	154,980	56.50%	152,055
580 Phillipston	322,560.44	0.1%	47,564	. 45,946	47,025	445,100.00	0.2%	67,920	64,365	65,550	39.39%	64,313
590 Princeton	1,694,126.00	0.7%	256,082	264,456	258,873	1,817,368.00	0.8%	283,874	319,175	307,409	18.75%	301,607
600 Quabbin Regional	4,390,484.92	1.9%	692,955	644,805	676,907	4,690,165.61	2.0%	763,292	707,516	726,106	7.27%	712,401
610 Quaboag Regional	1,718,139.00	0.8%	276,341	232,489	261,726	1,727,000.17	0.7% a	287,555	248,797	261,715	0.00%	256,775
620 Royalston	215,238.20	0.1%	31,739	21,740	28,406	182,600.76	0.1%	27,864	23,477	24,939	-12.21%	24,468



Worcester Regional Contributory Retirement System Member Unit Appropriations for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2014 Based on January 1, 2012 Valuation Results

FYE 2013 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method) FYE 2014 Appropriation (Phase In - Actuarial Method) % of % of Increase Total Total Salary Actuarial Salaty Actuarial over FYE. Payment on Unit Unit Name 2011 Salary Salary Allocation Method Phase-In Total 2012 Salary Salary Allocation Method Phase-In Total 2013 7/1/2013 630 Rutland 2.124.926.00 0.9% 318 041 356,798 330 959 2.179,192,56 0.9% 337,451 394,996 375.816 13.55% 368,723 640 Southborn 7,988,650.64 3.5% 1,177,988 1,166,299 1.174.092 7.982.131.80 3.4% 1.218,036 1.213.944 1.215.307 3.51% 1.192,368 650 Southboro Housing 49.268.60 0.0% 15.494 13,443 14.811 50,408.80 0.0% 16,291 13,922 14,712 -0.67% 14,434 660 Spencer 3,259,039.00 1.4% 480,570 478,649 479,930 3,402,239.00 1.4% 519,166 578,318 558,603 16.39% 548,059 670 Spencer-East Brookfield Regional 2,478,186.00 1.1% 386.242 346,734 373.074 2,541,962.00 1.1% 409 642 398,330 402,101 7.78% 394.511 680 Spencer Housing Authority 239,136.56 0.1% 35.263 20,681 30,403 239,871.08 0.1% 36,603 22,537 27,225 -10.45% 26,711 690 Sterling 3,712,460.70 1.6% 557,909 615,938 577,250 3,744,390,22 1.6% 582.326 650.907 628.049 8.80% 616,195 700 Sterling Housing Authority 34.632.00 0.0% 5.107 3,874 4,696 35,256.00 0.0% 5.380 4,660 4.900 4 34% 4,808 710 Sturbridge 5,422,310.07 2.4% 847.316 773,550 822,730 5.534,140.73 2.3% 894.388 836,328 855,680 4.00% 839,529 720 Sutton 2.3% 5,231,860.84 771.478 748,853 763.937 5.467,166.80 2.3% 834,265 815.231 821.576 7.54% 806,069 730 Tantasqua Regional 3.308.865.33 1.4% 487.917 411,130 462,324 3,406,805.99 1.4% 519.863 431.019 460,630 -0.37% 451,936 740 Templeton 3,374,029,40 1.5% 513,592 494,096 507,094 3,233,684.59 1.4% 510,234 637,789 595,275 17.39% 584,039 750 Templeton Housing Authority 75,229,00 0.0% 27,028 33,505 29.187 75,822.00 0.0% 28.222 37,299 34,273 17.43% 33,626 760 Upton 2,989,330.76 1.3% 440,799 351,553 411.054 3,125,484,42 1.3% 476.934 414.168 435.088 5.85% 426,876 765 Upton Housing Authority 0.0% 0.00 1.314 438 0.00 0.0% n 0 -100 00% 1,108,165 770 Uxbridge 7,052,306.64 3.1% 1.095.301 1,133,898 7,093,281,23 3.0% 1,140,277 1,208,923 1.186.043 7.03% 1.163,657 780 Uxbridge Housing Authority 171,289.00 0.1% 25,258 30,919 27,145 175,297.00 0.1% 26,750 33,916 31,528 16.15% 30,933 790 Wachusett Regional 9,254,090.51 4.0% 1,407,040 1,127,056 1,313,722 9,741,039.50 4.1% 1,530,800 1,227,178 1,328,376 1.12% 1,303,303 800 Warren 1,318,176.00 0.6% -0.72% 233,823 198,963 222,204 1,326,212.00 0.6% 243,596 209,110 220,605 216,441 810 Warren Water District 72,634.00 0.0% 10.711 . 5,712 9.045 71,193,77 0.0% 6.719 -10.44% 7,948 10.864 8.101 820 Westboro 6.8% 2,332,315 15,636,934.00 2,300,790 2,321,806 15,951,779.00 6.7% 2,461,891 2,408,494 2,426,291 4.50% 2,380,495 825 Westboro Housing Authority 92,124.50 0.0% 17,371 21,664 18,802 94,855.54 0.0% 18,432 22,727 21,295 13.26% 20,893 830 West Boylston 4,870,156,80 2.1% 797.937 774,695 4,716,086,66 2.00 6 841,872 816,787 5.43% 801,370 763.076 766,610 835 West Boylston Housing Authority 75,980.84 0.0% 11,204 9,847 10,752 76,771.24 0.0% 11,715 7,320 8,785 -18.29% 8,619 840 West Boylston Water District 8.47% 288,300.00 0.1% 42.512 38.185 41.070 284,409.00 0.1% 43,399 45,124 44,549 43,708 850 West Brookfield 0.5% 1,177,992.00 0.5% 219,066 202,076 1,124,147.00 165,764 136,934 156,155 179,757 205,964 31.90% 3,353,180.90 860 Westminster 1.5% 498,166 522,194 1.4% 494,222 502,762 -3.72% 493,273 570.257 3,381,256.96 519,844 870 Winchendon 5,221,192.16 2.3% 814,970 971,912 867,278 5,494,571.22 2.3% 885,540 1,057,593 1,000,247 15.33% 981,367 880 Winchendon Housing Authority 475,213.00 0.2% 47,993 -6.34% 463,173.00 0.2%71,824 40,177 61,276 76,200 57,394 56,311 Total 228,749,418.29 100.0% 35,056,320 35,056,320 35,056,320 236,738,196.06 100.0% 37,510,266 37,510,266 37,510,266 7.00% 36,802,268



Old Rochester Regional School

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	79
Inactives	43
Retirees and Disableds	42
Total	164
Payroll	2,744,858
Average	34,745
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	230,876
Employer	97,386
Administrative Expense	14,050
Total	342,312
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	6,016,513
Inactives	273,088
Retirees and Disableds	5,299,887
Total	11,589,488
Assets	\$5,856,366
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$5,733,122
	4.50.5
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$530,322 \$530,017
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$520,017 \$572,743
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$572,743
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$561,614



Onset Fire District

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	15
Inactives	6
Retirees and Disableds	13
Total	34
Payroll	701,488
Average	46,766
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	61,073
Employer	29,976
Administrative Expense	5,397
Total	96,446
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	1,990,946
Inactives	28,360
Retirees and Disableds	2,432,366
Total	4,451,672
Assets	\$2,249,506
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$2,202,166
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$207,431
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$203,400
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$223,563
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$219,219



Pembroke

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	260
Inactives	87
Retirees and Disableds	116
Total	463
Payroll	10,960,886
Average	42,157
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	948,214
Employer	426,959
Administrative Expense	66,849
Total	1,442,022
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	26,702,041
Inactives	378,621
Retirees and Disableds	28,059,767
Total	55,140,429
Assets	\$27,863,400
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$27,277,029
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$2,626,404
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$2,575,371
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$2,831,793
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$2,776,769



Plymouth County Mosquito Control

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	11
Inactives	0
Retirees and Disableds	7
Total	18
Payroll	671,647
Average	61,059
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	58,367
Employer	11,249
Administrative Expense	4,670
Total	74,286
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	1,948,574
Inactives	0
Retirees and Disableds	1,903,619
Total	3,852,193
Assets	\$1,946,579
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$1,905,614
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$174,971
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$171,571
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$188,609
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$184,944



Plympton

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	38
Inactives	10
Retirees and Disableds	23
Total	71
Payroll	1,317,658
Average	34,675
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	114,505
Employer	95,284
Administrative Expense	8,991
Total	218,780
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	2,767,932
Inactives	69,958
Retirees and Disableds	4,578,735
Total	7,416,625
Assets	\$3,747,747
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$3,668,878
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$392,090
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$384,471
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$419,959
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$411,799



Rochester

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	79
Inactives	34
Retirees and Disableds	23
Total	136
Payroll	3,182,865
Average	40,289
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	271,112
Employer	129,531
Administrative Expense	14,301
Total	414,944
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	7,732,399
Inactives	171,732
Retirees and Disableds	3,892,249
Total	11,796,380
Assets	\$5,960,912
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$5,835,468
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$602,301
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$590,598
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$646,145
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$633,590



Rockland

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	308
Inactives	95
Retirees and Disableds	176
Total	579
Payroll	12,205,533
Average	39,628
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	1,025,673
Employer	461,130
Administrative Expense	87,170
Total	1,573,973
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	35,369,845
Inactives	364,346
Retirees and Disableds	36,168,421
Total	71,902,612
Assets	\$36,333,617
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$35,568,995
	W
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$3,216,527
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$3,154,027
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$3,476,580 \$3,409,028
Estimated F 1 to Contribution - July payment	\$3,407,028



Scituate

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	386
Inactives	134
Retirees and Disableds	217
Total	737
Payroll	16,915,229
Average	43,822
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	1,389,272
Employer	733,789
Administrative Expense	103,098
Total	2,226,159
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	42,458,026
Inactives	723,447
Retirees and Disableds	41,859,001
Total	85,040,474
Assets	\$42,972,403
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$42,068,071
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$3,912,145
Estimated FY15 Contribution - July payment	\$3,836,129
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$4,223,839
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$4,141,767



Scituate Housing

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2013

Participants	
Actives	6
Inactives	0
Retirees and Disableds	7
Total	13
Payroll	297,189
Average	49,532
Valuation Results	
Normal Cost	
Employee	26,576
Employer	22,472
Administrative Expense	2,173
Total	51,221
Actuarial Accrued Liability	
Actives	348,674
Inactives	0
Retirees and Disableds	1,443,678
Total	1,792,352
Assets	\$905,706
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	\$886,646
Estimated FY15 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$107,852
Estimated FY15 Contribution - Selmannian payment	\$105,756
Estimated FY16 Contribution - semiannual payments	\$114,575
Estimated FY16 Contribution - July payment	\$112,348

